2875

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket

NORBERT DICKEN ET AL.

NL 010076

Serial No. 10/055,421

Group Art Unit 2875

Filed: January 22, 2002

Examiner: Choi, Jacob Y.

Title: LUMINAIRE

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION TO WITHDRAW ABANDONMENT UNDER MPEP SECTION 711.03(c)

Sir:

Applicant(s) hereby petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment as evidenced by the Notice of Abandonment mailed March 17, 2003 (copy attached).

The holding of abandonment is in error for the following reasons.

Applicant(s)' response to the final Office action dated April 29, 2003 was due October 29, 2003.

The Request for Continued Examination with authorization to charge the required Fee to Assignee's Deposit Account, was mailed by Applicant(s) Attorney on July 29, 2003, was evidenced by the attached copy of the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal, with Certificate of Mailing, and was received by the Patent Office on August 5, 2003, as evidenced by the attached copy of the

return receipt postcard.

Since all acts required of Applicant(s) to accomplish timely submission of the Request for Continued Examination have been carried out by Applicant(s), the holding of abandonment was in error, and Applicant(s) hereby petition for its withdrawal.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank Keegan, Reg. 50,145

Attorney

(914) 333-9669 March 26, 2004

Enclosures: Copy of Abandonment

Copy of RCE Transmittal

Copy of Post Card of August 5, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

It is hereby certified that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BOX 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on March 29 200

By Bunett Comos



ø

	MAIL Stop RCE DOCKET NO. <u>NL 01007</u>	b DIV. <u>Keeg</u> SEF	1. NO. (0/055,42)
.*	PLEASE DATE STAMP ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT O	AND RETURN TO F NOTED DOCUMENTS	
	Application	Amendment	AUG 0 5 2003



BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,421	421 01/22/2002		Norbert Dicken	PHNL 010076	7249	
24737	7590	03/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
PHILIPS IN	NTELLE	CTUAL PROPER	TY & STANDARDS	CHOI, JA	ACOB Y	
P.O. BOX 30	001					

ART UNIT 2875

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

INITIAL DATE FOCKETED COMPUTER

	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	10/055,421	DICKEN ET AL.							
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit							
The BANK NO DATE of Alice and a single series	Jacob Y Choi	2875							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address							
This application is abandoned in view of:									
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note that period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	· · · · · ·							
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	· · · · · ·	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);								
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-							
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.									
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8		the statutory period of three months							
	(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of								
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balanc	e of \$ is due.								
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.								
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of							
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is							
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.									
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of							
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR							
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		se the period for seeking court review							
7. X The reason(s) below:		·							
examiner called the attorney, Paul M. Hletko, on 03		to respond in timely manner M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M							
	P	RIMARY EXAMINER							
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrain minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to							
	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 0304							

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL

To Commissioner For Patents

Please enter the following submission and withdraw the finality of the proceeding office action or withdraw any pending appeal and reopen prosecution before the Examiner.

Application Number	10/055,421
Filing Date	January 22, 2002
First Named Inventor	Norbert Dicken
Group Art Unit	2875
Examiner Name	Jacob Y. Choi
Attorney Docket Number	PHNL 010076

This is an RCE under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application (which is made prior to: payment of issue fee; abandonment; notice of appeal to the CAFC; or commencement of civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146.)

i. Submission	rrequired under 37 C.F.N. § 1.114									
a. X Prev	viously submitted									
i. X	Consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on <u>June 16, 2003</u> Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered).									
ii.	Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply	Brief previously fie	ld on							
iii. 🔲	Other									
b. Encl	losed									
i. 🗀	Amendment/Reply									
ii. 🗀	Affidavit(s)Declaration(s)									
iii. 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)									
iv. 🔲	Other	(may no	t be a brief)							
2. Miscellaneo	ous									
a. Susp	pension of action on the above-identified application is	requested under 3	37 C.F.R. §1.	.103(c) for a period of						
	months. (May not exceed 3 m	onths; Fee required per	37 C.F.R. § 1.1	17(i)						
b. Other	r									
o outon										
3. Fees										
a. X The	e Commissioner For Patents is hereby authorized to ch	arge all required for	es except th	ne issue fee or credit						
any	overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 14-1270									
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQURIED										
Name (Print Type)	FRANK KEEGAN	Registration No. (Attorn	ey/Agent)	50,145						
Signature	Frank Keegm	Date 7	129/03							
I hambu and father the late	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR T		no addressed to	Commissioner Fee Detects						
, ,	being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as fire									
Box RCE, Alexandria, VA	A 22313, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	tel# <u>:</u>		on the date below:						
Name (Print Type)	EDNA CHAPA									
Signature	Edna Chara		Date	7/29/03						
				-						



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/055,421	01/22/2002	Norbert Dicken	PHNL 010076	7249		
24737	7590 07/15/2003					
	NTELLECTUAL PROPE	RTY & STANDARDS	EXAMI	NER		
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	F MANOR, NY 10510		CHOI, JA	COB Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2875			
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U3 JUL 1 / AM 9: 58

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/055,421	DICKEN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jacob Y Choi	2875		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_	
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application are application.	ation. A proper reply to a		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailinb) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anoevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. RE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	ı n	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);			
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly		
7. Solution For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-21 and 23-31</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Sandra O'Shea		
	<i>'</i>	rvisory Patent Examiner chnology Center 2800		

TO:Auto-reply fax

18479057113 COMPANY:

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at +18479057113

Fax Information

Date Received: Total Pages:

6/16/03 3:44:44 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

16 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page JMR-16-03 81:44PM FROM-CLG /

+18478857113

T-197 P.01 F-248

OFFICIAL

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 - 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

Date:

JUNE 16, 2003

To:

EXAMINER JACOB Y. CHOI

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #:

(703) 872-9319

From:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS

Fax #:

(847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.:

PHNL 010076 (7790/236)

.

. .

of Pages: (including cover sheet)

P YOU NAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE PLEASE CALL <u>2017/105-7111_E1_2220</u> AND ASK FOR EXIDER LOUZ

THIS MESSAGE IS INTEROED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, COMPORNITHAL ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRACE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS SECURIT FROM DISCLOSURE WHORE APPLICABLE MAYS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE WITEHOOD RECIPIAT, OR AN EMPLOYED OR AGENT FROM DISSEMBLY ON DISCLOSURE WHO DISSEMBLY ON DISCLOSURE THE WITEHOOD RECIPIAT. YOU AND RECEIVED THAT MAY OF SECRET OR THE WITEHOOD RECIPIAT. YOU AND RECEIVED THAT MAY NOT SEED SECRET OR THE WITEHOOD RECIPIATION OF TOO HAVE RECEIVED OF THE WITEHOOD RECIPIATION OF TOO HAVE RECEIVED WHICH PRODUCT OF THE WITEHOOD RECIPIATION OF THE WITEHOOD RECIPIED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED WHICH DISCLOSURE OF THE WITEHOOD RECIPIED OF THE ORIGINAL MISSAGE WAND ALL COPIEST TO US BY MAR AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REMARKES EYOU FOR POSTAGE

Received from < +18479657113 > at 811843 3:14:14 PM (Eastern Daylight Time)

CUN 26 MA

Certificate of Facsimile
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to (703) 872-9319 to the U.S.
Patent and Trademark Office

June 16, 2003
(Date of Deposit)

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)

Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative

Signature

June 16, 2003

Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. PHNL 010076 (7790/236)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent a	application of:)	
NOR	BERT DICKEN, ET AL.)	Examiner: Choi, Jacob Y.
Serial No.:	10/055,421	·)	
)	Group Art Unit: 2875
Filed:	JANUARY 22, 2002)	
•)	·
For: LUM	IINAIRE	·)	

RESPONSE TO A FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED APRIL 28, 2003

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to a Final Office Action dated April 28, 2003, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

UN 26 2M3

CCIDAV

5:/Ke/PGO7KEAD ECR

Case No.: PHI 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421

Filed: January 22, 2002 Page 2

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Claims 13-31 are currently pending in the application.

Please amend claims 13, 14, 19-21, 26, 27, 30 and 31 as shown below.

Please cancel claims 15, 16 and 22, as shown below.

The following listing of claims 1-31 will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1.-12. (Cancelled)

13. (Currently Amended) A luminaire for illuminating an object, said luminaire comprising:

a first chamber for accommodating at least one tubular lamp; and a second chamber defined by a light emission window, and a curtain, and a light transmitting side wall,

wherein, when that at least one tubular lamp is located within said first chamber, at least a portion of any light emitted by the at least one tubular lamp passes through said curtain into said second chamber with a first homogenous light distribution, and

wherein at least a portion of any light passing into said second chamber passes through said light emitting window with a second homogenous light distribution.

14. (Currently Amended) The luminaire of claim 13,

wherein, when the at least one tubular lamp is located with said first chamber, a first portion of said curtain is directly opposite a first tubular lamp of the at least one <u>tubular</u> lamp and a second portion is diagonal from the first tubular lamp; and

wherein a first light transmittance of said first portion of said curtain is less than a second light transmittance of said second portion of said curtain.

Case No.: PH 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421

Filed: January 22, 2002 Page 3

15. (Cancelled)

- 16. (Cancelled)
- 17. (Previously Added) The luminaire of claim 13, wherein said curtain includes a calcium halophosphate material.
- 18. (Previously Added) The luminaire of claim 13, wherein said curtain includes a calcium pyrophosphate material.
- 19. (Currently Amended) The luminaire of claim 13, wherein said curtain (21) includes a fluoro-copolymer serving as a binder.
- 20. (Currently Amended) A luminaire for illuminating an object, said luminaire comprising:

a first chamber for accommodating at least one tubular lamp; and a second chamber defined by a light emission window and a carrier wall; and

a curtain disposed within said chamber,

wherein, when the at least one tubular lamp is located within said first chamber, at least a portion of any light emitted by the at least one tubular lamp passes through said carrier wall into said second chamber with a first homogenous light distribution, and

wherein at least a portion of any light passing into said second chamber passes through said light emitting window with a second homogenous light distribution, and

wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall.

Case No.: PH: :010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421

Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 4

21. (Currently Amended) The luminaire of claim 22 20,
wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall
and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall; and
wherein a first light transmittance of said first portion of said curtain is
less than a second light transmittance of said second portion of said curtain.

- 22. (Cancelled).
- 23. (Previously Added) The luminaire of claim 20, wherein said second chamber is further defined by a side wall including a light-transmitting material.
 - (Previously Added) The luminaire of claim 20,
 wherein said curtain includes a calcium halophosphate material.
 - 25. (Previously Added) The luminaire of claim 20, wherein said curtain includes a calcium pyrophosphate material.
- 26. (Currently Amended) The luminaire of claim 20, wherein said curtain (21) includes a fluoro-copolymer serving as a binder.

Case No.: PHi 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421

Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 5

27. (Currently Amended) A lighting system for illuminating an object, said lighting system comprising:

a first luminaire including a first homogenous light distribution chamber and a second homogenous light distribution chamber both defined by a first side wall, said second homogenous light distribution chamber further defined by a first light emission window;

a second luminaire including a third homogenous light distribution chamber and a fourth homogenous light distribution chamber both defined by a second side wall, said fourth homogenous light distribution chamber further defined by a second light emission window;

wherein, a first edge of said first light emission window lies against a second edge of said second light emission window; and

wherein said first side wall and said second side wall both include a light-transmitting material.

- (Previously Added) The lighting system of claim 27, 28. wherein said first side wall abuts said second side wall.
- (Previously Added) The lighting system of claim 27, 29. wherein said first side wall and said second side wall are integrated to form one side wall.

Case No.: PH 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421

Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 6

30. (Currently Amended) The lighting system of claim 27, wherein said first luminaire includes a curtain disposed within said

second homogenous light distribution chamber;

wherein, when at least one tubular lamp is located within said first homogenous light distribution chamber, at least a portion of any light emitted by the at least one tubular lamp passes through said curtain into said second homogenous light distribution chamber with a first homogenous light distribution; and

wherein at least a portion of any light passing into said second homogenous light distribution chamber passes through said first light emitting window with a second homogenous light distribution.

31. (Currently Amended) The lighting system of claim 27, wherein said a second homogenous light distribution chamber is further defined by a carrier wall;

wherein, when the at least one tubular lamp is located within said first homogenous light distribution chamber, at least a portion of any light emitted by the at least one tubular lamp passes through said carrier wall into said second homogenous light distribution chamber with a first homogenous light distribution; and

wherein at least a portion of any light passing into said second homogenous light distribution chamber passes through said first light emitting window with a second homogenous light distribution.

Case No.: PH -010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 7

-- REMARKS --

In the Final Office Action, Examiner Choi objected to the drawings under 37 CFR § 1.83(a) for failing to show the features of pending claims 15 and 22. The Applicant has cancelled claims 15 and 22 herein. Withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is therefore respectfully requested.

Also in the Final Office Action, Examiner Choi objected to and rejected pending claims 13-31 on various grounds. The Applicant responds to each objection and rejection as subsequently recited herein:

A. Examiner Choi objected to pending claim 31 for reciting "wherein said a second homogenous"

The Applicant amended claim 31 to recite "wherein said second homogenous" as instructed by Examiner Choi. Withdrawal of the objection of pending claim 31 is therefore respectfully requested.

B. Examiner Choi rejected pending claims 13-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,161,880 to Azuma

The Applicant has thoroughly considered Examiner Choi's remarks concerning the patentability of claims 13-31 over Azuma. The Applicant has also thoroughly reread Azuma. The Applicant respectfully traverses the §103(a) rejection of pending claims 16, 21, and 27, because Azuma fails to disclose, teach or suggest "wherein a second chamber is further defined by a side wall including a light-transmitting material" as recited in claim 16, "wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall" as recited in claim 21, and "wherein said first side wall and said second side wall both include a light-transmitting material" as recited in claim 27.

Case No.: PH 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 8

Specifically, the Applicant respectfully asserts that *Azuma* teaches away from removing spacer 29 to define a second chamber, because *Azuma* is predicated on using spacer 29 for establishing and maintaining a pre-determined space between curtain 28 and plate 30. See, *Azuma* at column 4, lines 20-25. Furthermore, *Azuma* discloses adhesive tapes 31 and 32 for maintaining a proper alignment of components 26-30 as illustrated in FIG. 4, and *Azuma* fails to disclose, teach or suggest adhesive tapes 31 and 32 including light-transmitting material. See, *Azuma* at column 3, lines 50-63. Additionally, a side surface of curtain 28 is wholly affixed to carrier wall 27 as illustrated in FIG. 4 of *Azuma*, and *Azuma* fails to disclose, teach or suggest a portion of curtain 28 being affixed to carrier wall 27 and another portion of curtain 28 being spaced from carrier wall 27.

The Applicant has cancelled dependent claim 16 herein and amended independent claim 13 herein to recite "a second chamber defined by a light emission window, a curtain, and a light transmitting side wall". Please note that on page 10 of the amendment of April 1, 2003, the Applicant mistakenly stated "In particular, Azuma teach a second chamber and side walls including a light-transmitting material", when in fact the Applicant intended to state "In particular, Azuma fails to teach a second chamber and side walls including a light-transmitting material".

The Applicant has also amended claims 20 and 21 herein for reciting "wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall" within independent claim 20. The Applicant therefore respectfully requests a withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 13, 20 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma.

Claims 14 and 17-19 depend from amended independent claim 13. Therefore, dependent claims 14 and 17-19 include all of the elements and limitations of amended independent claim 13. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 14 and 17-19 are allowable over *Azuma* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to amended independent claim 13 being allowable

Case No.: PH: 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 9

over Azuma. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 14 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Azuma is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 21 and 23-26 depend from amended independent claim 20. Therefore, dependent claims 21 and 23-26 include all of the elements and limitations of amended independent claim 20. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 21 and 23-26 are allowable over *Azuma* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to amended independent claim 20 being allowable over *Azuma*. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 21 and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Azuma* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 28-31 depend from independent claim 27. Therefore, dependent claims 28-31 include all of the elements and limitations of independent claim 27. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 28-31 are allowable over *Azuma* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 27 being allowable over *Azuma*. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 28-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Azuma* is therefore respectfully requested.

C. Examiner Choi rejected pending claims 13-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 2,330,935 to *Tuck*

The Applicant has thoroughly considered Examiner Choi's remarks concerning the patentability of claims 13-31 over *Tuck*. The Applicant has also thoroughly reread *Tuck*. The Applicant respectfully traverses the §103(a) rejection of pending claims 16, 21, and 27, because *Tuck* fails to disclose, teach or suggest "wherein a second chamber is further defined by a side wall including a light-transmitting material" as recited in claim 16, "wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall" as recited in claim 21, and "wherein said first side wall and said second side wall both include a light-transmitting material" as recited in claim 27.

Case No.: PH 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 10

Specifically, Tuck fails to disclose, teach or suggest the inclusion of lighttransmitting material in the side walls of housing 25 (FIG. 2) and housing 71 (FIG. 3). Moreover, the Applicant respectfully asserts that *Tuck* teaches away from the inclusion of light-transmitting material in the side walls of housing 25 and housing 71, because Tuck is predicated on using reflector 37 (FIG. 2) to focus a predetermined amount of light emitted from bulb 36 sequentially through plates 29 and 19 into a building and on using the reflector illustrated in FIG. 3 to focus a predetermined amount of light emitted from bulb 69 sequentially through plates 61 and 56 into a building. See, Tuck at column 3, lines 71-74. Furthermore, a light receiving side of plate 29 is wholly affixed to the carrier wall while the light exiting side of plate 29 is spaced from inner wall 28 to define a chamber as illustrated in FIG. 2 of Tuck, and a light receiving side of plate 61 is wholly affixed to the carrier wall 62 while the light exiting side of plate 61 is spaced from the inner wall to define a chamber as illustrated in FIG. 3 of Tuck. Tuck however fails to disclose, teach or suggest (1) a portion of the light receiving side of plate 29 being affixed to the carrier wall while another portion is spaced from the carrier wall and (2) a portion of the light receiving side of plate 61 being affixed to the carrier wall 62 while another portion is spaced from the carrier wall 62.

The Applicant has cancelled dependent claim 16 herein and amended independent claim 13 herein to recite "a second chamber defined by a light emission window, a curtain, and a light transmitting side wall". The Applicant has also amended claims 20 and 21 herein for reciting "wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall" within independent claim 20. The Applicant therefore respectfully requests a withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 13, 20 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Tuck*.

Case No.: PH 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 11

Claims 14 and 17-19 depend from amended independent claim 13. Therefore, dependent claims 14 and 17-19 include all of the elements and limitations of amended independent claim 13. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 14 and 17-19 are allowable over *Tuck* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to amended independent claim 13 being allowable over *Tuck*. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 14 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Tuck* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 21 and 23-26 depend from amended independent claim 20. Therefore, dependent claims 21 and 23-26 include all of the elements and limitations of amended independent claim 20. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 21 and 23-26 are allowable over *Tuck* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to amended independent claim 20 being allowable over *Tuck*. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 21 and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Tuck* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 28-31 depend from independent claim 27. Therefore, dependent claims 28-31 include all of the elements and limitations of independent claim 27. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 28-31 are allowable over *Tuck* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 27 being allowable over *Tuck*. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 28-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Tuck* is therefore respectfully requested.

D. Examiner Choi rejected pending claims 13-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,293,892 to *Plummer*

Case No.: PF. 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 12

The Applicant has thoroughly considered Examiner Choi's remarks concerning the patentability of claims 13-31 over *Plummer*. The Applicant has also thoroughly reread *Plummer*. The Applicant respectfully traverses the §103(a) rejection of pending claims 16, 21, and 27, because *Plummer* fails to disclose, teach or suggest "wherein a second chamber is further defined by a side wall including a light-transmitting material" as recited in claim 16, "wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall" as recited in claim 21, and "wherein said first side wall and said second side wall both include a light-transmitting material" as recited in claim 27.

Specifically, *Plummer* fails to disclose, teach or suggest the inclusion of zoom-lighting apparatus 10 as evidenced FIG. 8. Moreover, the Applicant respectfully asserts that *Plummer* teaches away from the inclusion of light-transmitting material zoom-lighting apparatus 10, because such an inclusion would impede *Plummer*'s objective of emitting a collimated light beam. See, *Plummer* at column 2, lines 53-60 and column 5, lines 26-40. Furthermore, *Plummer* discloses curtain 34, light emission window 36 and side wall of light control section 26 for defining the second chamber as illustrated in FIG. 2, and *Plummer* fails to disclose, teach a carrier wall in conjunction with light emission window 36 and side wall of light control section 26 for defining the second chamber.

The Applicant has cancelled dependent claim 16 herein and amended independent claim 13 herein to recite "a second chamber defined by a light emission window, a curtain, and a light transmitting side wall". The Applicant has also amended claims 20 and 21 herein for reciting "wherein a first portion of said curtain is spaced from said carrier wall and a second portion of said curtain is affixed to said carrier wall" within independent claim 20. The Applicant therefore respectfully requests a withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 13, 20 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Plummer*.

Case No.: PF: . 010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 13

Claims 14 and 17-19 depend from amended independent claim 13. Therefore, dependent claims 14 and 17-19 include all of the elements and limitations of amended independent claim 13. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 14 and 17-19 are allowable over Plummer for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to amended independent claim 13 being allowable over Plummer. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 14 and 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Plummer* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 21 and 23-26 depend from amended independent claim 20. Therefore, dependent claims 21 and 23-26 include all of the elements and limitations of amended independent claim 20. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 21 and 23-26 are allowable over *Plummer* for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to amended independent claim 20 being allowable over Plummer. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 21 and 23-26 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Plummer* is therefore respectfully requested.

Claims 28-31 depend from independent claim 27. Therefore, dependent claims 28-31 include all of the elements and limitations of independent claim 27. It is therefore respectfully submitted by the Applicant that dependent claims 28-31 are allowable over Plummer for at least the same reason as set forth herein with respect to independent claim 27 being allowable over Plummer. Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 28-31 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Plummer is therefore respectfully requested.

Case No.: Pl __010076 (7790/236)

Serial No.: 10/055,421 Filed: January 22, 2002

Page 14

SUMMARY

Examiner Choi's objection and § 103(a) rejections of claims 13-31 have been obviated by the amendment herein of claims 13, 14, 17-21, 26, 27, 30 and 31 and cancellation herein of claims 15, 16 and 22. The Applicant respectfully submits that claims 13, 14, 17-21, and 23-31 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, Examiner Choi is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Dated: June 16, 2003

Respectfully submitted, Norbert Dicken, et al.

U.S. PHILIPS 580 White Plains Road Tarrytown, New York 10591 Phone: (914) 333-9669

Fax: (914) 332-0615

CARDINAL LAW GROUP Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone: (847) 905-7111

Fax: (847) 905-7113

Frank Keegan Registration No. 50,145

Attorney for Applicants

Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983

Attorney for Applicants

TRANSMITT ...

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Attorney Docket No.	P1 010076 (7790/236)
Application Number	10/055,421
Filing Date	JANUARY 22, 2002
First Named Inventor	NORBERT DICKEN
Group Art Unit	2875
Examiner	CHOI, JACOB Y.

						Examiner		Company of the control of the contro	CHOI, J	ACOB	Y."	and the second s	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)													
\boxtimes	Response to a Fir Dated April 28, 20		on			gnment Papers an Application)	-					mmunication to l	
	After Fina	il .		<u> </u>	Oraw	vings:		•				mmunication to (ce, Brief, Reply Brie	
.•	☐ Affidavits	/declaration(s)		F I I '	After Grou	Allowance Com Ip	munic	cation to					
						on Routing Slip (impanying Petitio		'SB/69) and		Prop	rietary	/ Information	
	Status Letter					onvert a isional Application	n .	•		Post	Card	Receipt	
	Petition for Extens Request (duplic)	. "	•			er of Attorney, Re orrespondence A						Enclosure(s) entify below):	
	Express Abandon	ment Request			Term	ninal Disclaimer							
	Information Disclo PTO-1449, art	sure Statemen	t,		Sma	II Entity Statemer	nt -						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)													
	Response to Missi Incomplete Applica					Commissioner is he payment, to Deposi	-						
				🛛 i	s tim	eby petition under 3 ely filed. Please ci unt No. <u>50-1713</u> . A	narge	any associated f	ees which	have n	ot othe		
			٠			CALCULATION O	F FE	E Small	Entity			Large I	Entity .
٠.	Claims After Amendment		Highe Previo			Present Extra		Rale	Add'i Fee		or	Rate	Add'l Fee
Total		Minus				0		x \$9=		0		x \$18=	
Indep.	1	Minus				0	1	x \$42		0		x \$84	
First Pre	sentation of Multiple [Dep. Claim	·			<u> </u>		+\$140				+ \$280=	
								total add'i fee		\$ 0		total add'I fee	\$_
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm FRANK C. NICHOLAS or Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP Individual name 1603 Orington Avenue, Suite 2000 Evanston, IL 60201													
Signatur		July (12	Lele	/ /	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Date	June	16, 20	03	
			7			RTIFICATE OF F					-		
I hereby	certify that this con	respondence is and Trademark	being tr	ansmitted	via fa 3:	acsimile to (703)	872-9	319		. —;		June 16, 2003	3
Signature		NICHOLAS AS	1/1	h				-	Date	: <u>Ju</u>	ne 16.		